

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,378	09/04/2001	In Haeng Cho	K-0319	1269
34610	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200			PERRIN, J	OSEPH L
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T-2	T	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Summary		09/944,378	CHO, IN HAENG	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	on.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	príl 2004.		
_		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the merits i	s
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 1-6 and 34-59 is/are pending in the a	nnlication		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · ·	Claim(s) 1-6 and 34-59 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner	
-,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,	d).
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau		J	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment	(s)			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 20040402.	5) L Notice of Informal Page 6) During Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and Tr		-/ <u></u>		

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a microcomputer for controlling uploaded/downloaded data, does not reasonably provide enablement for a controller for controlling the microcomputer. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. A controller configured to control the microcomputer is not described in applicant's original disclosure. This is considered new matter. As best understood from applicant's original disclosure, key input section 12 is disclosed as being configured to the microcomputer 14 and the claims will be examined accordingly. However, clarification and correction are still required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,378

Art Unit: 1746

4. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 3

- 5. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 34-59 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 24 & 26-31 of copending Application No. 09/879,169. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the memory configured to store data from the microcomputer and the microcomputer configured to read data from the memory do not appear to be structurally distinguishable in the claimed apparatus. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize each to be dependent from the other, and thus required for the apparatus to function.
- 7. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,378 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571)272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,378 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1746

jlp

FRANKIE L. STINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3400 1700